

Appln No. 10/573,612
Amdt date February 11, 2010
Reply to Office action of November 12, 2009


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above amendments and these remarks are in response to the Office action mailed on November 12, 2009. Claims 17 and 28 have been amended. Claims 22, 24 and 31-34 have been canceled. Claims 17-21, 23 and 25-30 are now pending in this application. Reconsideration on the basis of the above amendments and remarks below is kindly requested.

The Examiner objected to claims 24, 28 and 29 as being dependent from a rejected base claim, but stated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of their base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 17 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 24, as well intervening claim 22. Furthermore, claim 17 has been amended to recite "wherein the adhesive layer comprises of double-sided adhesive tape" whereas claim 24 required "wherein the adhesive layer consists of a double-sided adhesive tape". Claim 28 has been amended to be in independent form. As such, Applicant submits that claims 17 and 28 are now in condition for allowance. Claims 18-21, 23, 25-27 and 30 are all directly or indirectly dependent from claim 17. As such, Applicant submits that these claims are also in condition for allowance, as being dependent from an allowable base claim and for the additional limitations these claims contain therein.

The objections to all claims pending in this application are believed to have been overcome and this application is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining questions or concerns about the allowability of this application, the Examiner is kindly requested to call the undersigned attorney to discuss them.

Respectfully submitted,
CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE, LLP

By 
Constantine Marantidis
Reg. No. 39,759
626/795-9900